

OCT 03 2006

Docket No.: 99-818CON1RCE1

REMARKS**I. Introductory Comments**

In the Office Action, the Examiner: (1) allowed claims 19-22; and (2) rejected claim 23 under 35 U.S.C. §102(b) as being anticipated by U.S. Patent No. 5,568,540 ("Greco"). Claim 23 has been amended herein. Claims 19-23 remain pending in the application.

II. Allowance of Claims 19-22

Applicants agree with the Examiner's conclusions regarding the patentability of claims 19-22, without necessarily agreeing with or acquiescing to the Examiner's reasoning.

III. Rejection of Claim 23 under 35 U.S.C. §102(b) as being anticipated by Greco

"A claim is anticipated only if each and every element as set forth in the claim is found, either expressly or inherently described, in a single prior art reference." *Verdegaal Bros. v. Union Oil Co. of California*, 2 U.S.P.Q.2d 1051, 1053 (Fed. Cir. 1987). See M.P.E.P. § 2131. Greco fails to disclose each and every element recited in amended claim 23. As amended, claim 23 recites:

23. A method for providing unified messaging comprising the steps, performed by a processor, of:
storing a plurality of input messages directed to a user, each identifying one of at least two different user destinations, the at least two different user destinations including the user's office and the user's home;
displaying a view of at least a subset of the input messages, the view including, for each input message, information identifying the message;
receiving a signal indicating a selection to view messages identifying a particular destination of the at least two different user destinations; and
modifying the view of the subset of the input messages based on the received signal.

The amendment to claim 23 is fully supported in Applicants' original specification. For example, see page 12, lines 12-20 of Applicants' specification.

Applicants cannot find any disclosure in Greco of "storing a plurality of input messages directed to a user, each identifying one of at least two different user destinations, the at least two different user destinations including the user's office and the user's home," as recited in claim 23. Emphasis added. For at least this reason, Greco does not disclose each and every element

Docket No.: 99-818CON1RCE1

recited in claim 23, and the §102 rejection of claim 23 should be withdrawn and the claim allowed.

OCT 03 2006

Docket No.: 99-818CON1RCE1

CONCLUSION

All rejections have been addressed. In view of the above, the presently pending claims are believed to be in condition for allowance. Accordingly, reconsideration and allowance are respectfully requested and the Examiner is respectfully requested to pass this application to issue. It is believed that any fees associated with the filing of this paper are identified in an accompanying transmittal. However, if any additional fees are required, they may be charged to Deposit Account 18-0013, under order number 65632-0389. To the extent necessary, a petition for extension of time under 37 C.F.R. 1.136(a) is hereby made, the fee for which should be charged against the aforementioned account.

Respectfully submitted,

Dated: 10/03/06By 

Michael B. Stewart
Reg. No.: 36,018
Jeffrey R. Jepps
Reg. No.: 53,072
RADER, FISHMAN & GRAUER PLLC
39533 Woodward Avenue, Suite 140
Bloomfield Hills, Michigan 48304
Customer No.: 25537
Attorneys for Applicants